

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested for the following reasons:

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-18 are allowed.

Amendment to Claims

The applicant has never amended claims 11-16, and the original Figures and the original specification as originally filed support all descriptions of the invention in these claims. It is respectfully submitted that these claims are clearly supported by the description in the application, and therefore do not pose any 112, first paragraph problems.

Rejection of Claim 4 Under 35 U.S.C. §112(1)

Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(1), as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant transgresses this rejection since the subject matter of these claims can be practiced by persons skilled in the art based on review of the originally filed specification.

In further response thereto, the applicant emphasizes that both Sedlak and IBM have not disclosed any viewpoint regarding bubbles, and the applicant had not amended the claims 11-16 in the first response. Obviously, this rejection is respectfully traversed on the basis that the citations do not disclose the features of the present invention, so that the person having ordinary skill

VERSION WITH MARKING TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claims 1-10 and 19-28 have been deleted.



Creation date: 08-22-2003
Indexing Officer: PTANG - PHUONG-THAO TANG
Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing
Dossier: 09824855

Legal Date: 05-13-2003

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	SRNT	1

Total number of pages: 1

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on